

STUDIA BOBOLANUM
REVIEWER'S FORM

Title of the article:

Reviewer's name and surname:

Yes No (please mark the correct answer with an X)

- | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Is the paper original? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Does the title of the paper correspond to its content? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Are the subject and purpose of research well formulated? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Are the goals formulated in the paper achieved? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Are the style and language of the paper correct? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Does the author quote relevant sources? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Are the conclusions relevant and are they substantiated by the content of the paper? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Is the abstract written correctly? |

Quality and relevance

- a) Excellent
- b) Very good
- c) Good
- d) Average
- e) Satisfactory
- f) Insufficient information

Descriptive assessment of the paper:

Reviewer's recommendation:

1. I recommend to accept the paper for publication:
 - In the present form
 - After some corrections

2. I do not recommend the paper for publication

.....
Place, date

.....
Signature